

## 2017-18 USP BUDGET, FINAL DRAFT COVER LETTER

### CHECKLIST

Items	Attachment
<p><input type="checkbox"/> TUSD provides the Final Draft using a format similar to Draft #3 (all changes between drafts emphasized). The District includes the following sub-sections within this cover letter to provide additional information to the Special Master and Plaintiffs to ensure understanding of the proposed allocations and the decision-making processes that led to the proposed allocations: Section A, Proposed Allocations by Priority; Section B, Significant Changes Between Draft #3 and the Final Draft; Section C, Major Issues; and Section D, Responses to Comments and RFIs on Draft 3.</p> <p>The District provides the following, where applicable, for each activity:</p>	Cover Letter and Forms
<p><input type="checkbox"/> A summary of the Final Draft proposed aggregated allocations broken out by allocation from 910G and any other USP related funding sources, by activity with the 2017-18 Proposed Allocation [1-A], the 2016-17 Allocation [1-B], and the variance between the Final Draft 2017-18 proposed allocation and the 2016-17 allocation [1-C]</p>	Form 1-A Form 1-B Form 1-C
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Final Draft proposed allocation for the activity in the proposed budget year (2017-18), the current budget year (2016-17), and Draft #3 [Form 2]</p>	Form 2
<p><input type="checkbox"/> Actual expenditures for each activity for the previous budget year (2015-16), allocations and projections for each activity for the current budget year (2016-17), proposed allocations for each activity for the proposed budget year (2017-18) [Form 5]</p>	Form 5
<p><input type="checkbox"/> 910G budget detail, including Final Draft proposed 2017-18 allocations, current year (2016-17) budgeted allocations, and comments relating to any position and/or program changes [Form 3] and specific line item allocations by department [Form 4]</p>	Form 4

## A. FINAL DRAFT PROPOSED ALLOCATIONS BY PRIORITY

Within the portion of the 910(G) budget that is dedicated to USP implementation<sup>1</sup>, close to ninety percent of the proposed allocations are dedicated to the following priorities: Integration (33%); Student Support (19%); Advanced Learning Experiences and Dual-Language (16%); Teacher Support / PD (12%); and CRCs, staff recruitment, and discipline (2% each). The chart below provides a high-level view of USP funding by priority category. However, the categories are by no means mutually exclusive. For example, large portions of Magnet and ALE funding could also be classified as teacher support, professional development, and student support. And, there are smaller investments in certain areas that are not included in this chart as it is intended to give a high-level view (e.g. extracurricular funding that supports students through tutoring is not included in this chart):

Related Priority	Description	% of \$55.5M in the Final Draft
Student Support, MTSS, Task Force	<b>Academic Interventions / Student Supports</b> (Activity Codes 106, 506, 511-516)	19%
ALEs (including Dual Language)	<b>ALE</b> (Activity Codes 501, 502, and 504)	16%
Integration	<b>Magnet Schools</b> (Activity Code 202)	15%
Integration	<b>USP Transportation</b> (Activity Codes 301 and 302)	16%
Teacher Support / PD	<b>Teacher Support and PD</b> (Activity Codes 409-418, and 508-509)	12%
<i>Required</i>	<b>Attorney and Special Master Fees<sup>2</sup></b> (a portion of Activity Codes 101 and 102)	3%
CRCs	<b>Culturally Relevant Courses</b> (Activity Code 510)	2%
Recruitment	<b>Staff Recruitment, Retention, Assignment</b> (401-408)	2%
Integration	<b>Student Assignment</b> (Activity Codes 201, 203-205)	2%
Discipline	<b>Discipline</b> (Activity Codes 601-607)	2%
	<b>TOTAL</b>	89.00%

<sup>1</sup> Total 910G Budget is \$63.7M, allocated as follows (amounts are approximated): \$55.5M for USP compliance; \$8.1M for expenses related to ELD and/or OCR compliance. There is approximately \$55.5M in available 910G funding to implement the USP.

<sup>2</sup> The District must pay attorney fees to the Fisher and Mendoza Plaintiffs, and fees to the Special Master (and the three Implementation Committee members). The District also pays for internal and external counsel.