| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---|----------|---|---------------------------|
| Front Page | i | left justify title and words. Change title font to a clean font, font is hard to read. Do not use italics at the bottom. Do not use all CAPS or center justify, it is hard to read for low literacy | Reviewed | N | |
| Front Page | i | The title of the document should be bold and clear on the front cover | Reviewed | Revised, page i | |
| | | | | | |
| Safety Zone / Table of Contents | ii | Page 2- school safety number should be more prominent- larger font | Reviewed | Revised, page 2 | |
| Safety Zone / Table of Contents | | have "your school is a safety zone" inside the front cover, make larger. No caps and no italics. Page 3 would become the page for the discrimination policy and table to contents. No larger font for table of contents. No CAPS, or you can make the discrimination statement on page two and leave page 3 as the table of contents. | Reviewed | N | |
| Safety Zone / Table of Contents | ii | Suggest bullets on Table of Contents pages 4-12 like the items below. Otherwise it looks like all items are negative because those are bulleted | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| Acknowledgement Form | iii-iv | Code of Conduct Acknowledgement Form either first or last page | Reviewed | Moved to front of the book, pages iii-iv | |
| Acknowledgement Form | iii-iv | spell out school year (SY) | Reviewed | Revised on page iii | |
| Acknowledgement Form | ::: :. <i>.</i> | I collect the signature page from the teachers. When signed by both the student and parent, it can be difficult to read the names. A line at the top that says please print student name and grade would be very helpful. Thank you. | Reviewed | Add "(Please print legibly) under both sig lines on page iii | |
| Acknowledgement Form | iii-iv | "can be measured when" Can be measured when? Or "is measured by the extent to which"? | Reviewed | N | |
| Acknowledgement Form | iii-iv | "excellence, safety, personal responsibility, and kindness" [changed to red] | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|------------------------|---------|--|----------|---|----------------------------------|
| Acknowledgement Form | iii-iv | "I acknowledge that I have read and reviewed the information in this document with my child." Not strong enough. Must revise. Please provide a different statement for parents and students, as they are subject to different expectations with regard to the GSRR. Do all students have a home room? Consider: For students: By signing this form, I acknowledge that I have read and understand all the information in this Guide for Student Rights and Responsibilities, that I agree to follow the rules as they are set out in the GSRR, and that if I violate any one of the rules as they are set out, as applicable, I will accept the consequences provided in the GSRR. For parents: By signing this form, I acknowledge that I have read and understand all the information in this Guide for Student Rights and Responsibilities, that I agree to assist my child/ren to follow these rules, and that if my child/ren violate any of the rules, as applicable, I will support TUSD in administering the consequences as they are set out in the GSRR. | Reviewed | N | All students have a homeroom |
| Acknowledgement Form | iii-iv | re Parent and Student Signature Line [change to Month, Day, Year rather than just Date] | Reviewed | N | |
| Acknowledgement Form | iii-iv | Page 5- Code of Conduct page- if tearing out and returning to school, there should not be anything on the back page | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| Superintendent Welcome | 01 | Determines is misspelled in Dr. Trujillo's message to students on the 2018-19 document. | Reviewed | Revised | |
| Superintendent Welcome | 01 | should be at left behind front page | Reviewed | N | |
| Superintendent Welcome | 01 | remove italics | Reviewed | N | |
| | | Pg. 4-"has jurisdiction'-this language is very legalistic, probably better to explain | | Replace "jurisdiction" with | |
| Basic Information | 02 | at 8th grade level | Reviewed | "authority" on page 2 | |
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4-"school sanctioned vehicle" School sponsored vehicle? I believe an activity or event, but not a thing can be "district sanctioned" | Reviewed | Replace with "vehicle used for school purposes" on page 2 | |
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4-"resulting in a detrimental effect" Explain at 8th grade level | Reviewed | Replace with "harmful" on page 2 | |
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4-Lodge a complaint area-This is not clear. Does this apply to all complaints or just to discrimination, harassment, hazing, dating abuse, bullying, and unfair disciplinary actions? | Reviewed | Replace "Lodge" with "Make" on page 2 | |
| Basic Information | 02 | "or onlinedisciplinary actions" This is not clear. Does this apply to all complaints or just to discrimination, harassment, hazing, dating abuse, bullying, and unfair disciplinary actions? | Reviewed | N | This applies to the listed items |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|------------------------------|---------|--|----------|---|--|
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4-make it clear that it can be a verbal complaint; doesn't have to be "filed" as a written document | Reviewed | Replace "Lodge" with "Make" on page 2 | · |
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4, when/where does code apply-delete extra space | Reviewed | Deleted | |
| Basic Information | 02 | On page 4, the first question and third question should use the complete name of the document- Code <u>of Conduct".</u> | Reviewed | N | |
| Basic Information | 02 | On page 4 IDEA should be spelled out the first time it is used | Reviewed | Revised on page 2 | |
| Basic Information | 02 | On page 4, the first sentence under the 4 th question should be (door to door). Language needs to be clear for all groups using the Code of Conduct. | Reviewed | N | |
| Basic Information | 02 | On page 4, the first sentence under the 4 th question should be (door to door). Language needs to be clear for all groups using the Code of Conduct. | Reviewed | N | |
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4-who are the members of the discipline review team | Reviewed | Added the additional duties of the Team on page 2 | The Discipline Director and the Compliance Liaison, see page 2 |
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4-omit "whenever possible" in 2nd bullet point of What Principles Guide the Administration of Discipline at TUSD section | Reviewed | Removed from page 2 | |
| Basic Information | 02 | P. 4 – last paragraph, remove the close parenthesis symbol after parents | Reviewed | Removed | |
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4-1. Under "When and Where Does the Code Apply?" on pg. 4, clarify or give suggestions as to what "off-campus actions" include | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Basic Information | 02 | "in the classroom" should not be the goal. The goal should be learning environment, which includes recess, outdoor study, gardens, etc This goal is restated on p. 7. | Reviewed | Revised, page 2 | |
| Basic Information | 02 | On p. 4, I am not sure how the school would have jurisdiction over off-campus activities. The standard is much too broad and needs to be narrowly defined. There is too much discretion provided to administrators as to whether something is "disruptive." This should be a legal matter. | Reviewed | N | |
| Basic Information | | Pg. 4and 10-What IDEA? Definition. Consider explaining acronyms or making a glossary of terms; Explain the phrase "portal to portal" | Reviewed | Revised | |
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4-I like it. Other pages should be in this format | Reviewed | N | |
| Basic Information | 02 | Pg. 4-Change to "What is the Code of Conduct?" Remove italics. Students or parents): remove parenthesis | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Page 6 – De-escalation paragraph: After reading this paragraph, how many times is "appropriate" | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Who is responsibleNot clear—is it everyone, or is it the instructional faculty and staff? Not everyone? | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|------------------------------|---------|---|----------|--|---------------------------|
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | What are RPThis is not clear. Consider something like: Restorative practices focus on preventing inappropriate behaviors. They also represent an attempt to reform school discipline measures, to make them less punitive and more educational. The goal is to improve relationships among teachers, students, and the entire school community. Restorative practices teach people how to address power imbalances by promoting relationship-building and understanding. They do not take the place of disciplinary consequences; instead, restorative practices help to improve behaviors and decrease the need for such consequences over time. | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | How does TUSD implementNot clear about how they work in school, if a student misbehaves in the middle of class. Is the teacher to continue following their lesson plan? Stop the lesson and form a circle—and, if so, when does the missed material get covered? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | how does TUSD implement And teachers? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | How does TUSD implement Who is trained for this (what position title)? When does this happen? What if none of this actually happens (policy is not practiced as it is written)? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | How does TUSD implementreflection form-To what end? What will happen to the form? Why not require that the student also complete missed classwork? When will student make up missed work if not while in the PIC? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | What are PBIS-Why is this separate from restorative practices? Are our restorative practices not embedded in a PBIS framework? | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | How does TUSD implement PBIS-What if this does not happen? What is the consequence? Who is "TUSD" referring to—what person can parents or students go to if none of this happens? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Position on EC-Agreed: this is confusing. Consider: Exclusionary consequences are a last resort, so teachers are permitted to send students out of class only if the student's behavior seriously disrupts the learning environment, as determined by the teacher. | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | When do EC apply-How is safety prioritized? This not clearly written. | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | When do EC apply-This is not very clear. Due process is different in school than out, but that is not apparent from this language. The language also assumes that readers know what due process is. Also, does this apply to any exclusionary consequence? Being sent to PIC? | Reviewed | Replaced "see Policy JK" with "see information on DP below, pages 6-7" | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | When do EC applydisciplinary consequences must be paired -what if they are not? | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | When do EC applylearn from their behavior and, where possible -is it ever not possible? Appropriate? | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | When do EC applyto protect student, staff or visitor safety -is this necessary? If yes, please clarify | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Pg. 7-clarification on the RP/PBIS position and whether this will be an actual paid position or stipend | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|------------------------------|---------|---|----------|---|---|
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Pg. 8-like this page | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | | Pg. 9-clarification on ISI and ISS-please distinguish as to whether we would actually have both or just one and would be based on content instructor or a highly qualified instructor | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | pg. 6-PBIS-spacing problem | Reviewed | Revised | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | As an employee, I understand the intent and purpose of all of the information included in the GSRR. It is a lot of information, and the language in the GSRR includes a lot of definitions and process guidelines that seem to be targeted more to employees than parents and students. Maybe the information about PBIS and Restorative practices could be communicated by using a visual or flow chart instead of typing out all of the definitions and processes | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Pg.7-Refelction form-What dies that look like? | Reviewed | N | Varies from school to school, and sometimes between teachers for what works best at a particular site or classroom |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Page 6- To tie into each school's PBIS, include "Honor your school's expectations" | Reviewed | N (this may be addressed through training or site-based PBIS materials) | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Comments: Page 5 of the new GSRR is unclear as to what we're asking from the students. On Page 6, you mention that "district staff including teachers, counselors, and administrators" can do restorative circles. This seems to exclude Intervention Techs, monitors, aides, etc Many of whom are trained and expected to run restorative circles. | Reviewed | Revised to state "district staff including, but not limited to | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Pg. 7- De-escalateStudents will complete a reflection form to help staff de- escalate the situation and assist in helping to restore the student back Will the entire district be using the same type of reflection form – or will each school create one for their needs? - I would appreciate being able to use the one we are currently using. | Reviewed | N | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Pg. 7-, it should be clear that exclusionary consequence cannot include recess time under State law. This also applies to p. 8 under Positive Intervention Centers. | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | formal restorative conferences need to be harder because when a student gets an expulsion of 4 or 5 days and then come back to class they keep doing it. | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|------------------------------|---------|--|----------|---|---------------------------|
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | A-Small Impromptu circles or large group circles: Small circles: a few people meet to briefly address and resolve a problem. Small circles are facilitated by district staff including teachers, counselors, and/or administrators. Large circles: a larger group can meet in a large circle or a classroom circle to discuss issues, answer questions, solve problems, or offer feedback. Large circles are facilitated by district staff including teachers, administrators, and/or learning supports coordinators. B. How Does TUSD Implement PBIS? TUSD strives to create safe, positive environments by: defining and teaching behavioral expectations; monitoring and acknowledging appropriate behavior; providing corrective, appropriate consequences; providing appropriate behavioral supports (including actions like mentoring, social skills groups, and daily monitoring); using a team-based approach; and using referral data for problem solving | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Restorative Practices / PBIS | 03 | Who Is Responsible For Implementing Restorative Practices and PBIS? Everyone. At every site. (unclear because below you lists specific responsibilities for staff but not for every one else.) Under the direction of the campus principal and/or the Restorative and Positive Practices Facilitator (RPPF), staff members at every site • must understand school rules; • reinforce appropriate student behavior; and • use constructive classroom management, positive behavioral interventions and supports, and/or restorative practices strategies, where appropriate, to promote safe, | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| | | | | | |
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | Pg. 8-concern about "or longer than one class period" rather than thirty minutes. They asked how long periods go (ewe said 55 to 105 for block periods) | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | Pg. 8- Exclusionary processes is a good addition, but I think there is too much information given. When a lot of information is thrown in at one time it dilutes the message that you want to focus on. For exclusionary practices I would keep the 1st line in the section "what are exclusionary practices", the chart, "when do they apply" and the chart | Reviewed | N | |
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | Pg. 8-Order of consequences listed under exclusionary practices-intense to state expulsion first | Reviewed | Revised to list positive alternatives to suspension first, and expulsion last on page 4 | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|--|---------|--|----------|--|---------------------------|
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | Pg. 8-the PIC room should be at the top of the page | Reviewed | Revised to move the section on PIC rooms after Abeyance and before ISI on page 5 | |
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | Pg. 8-too many fonts/graph is confusing | Reviewed | N | |
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | Pg. 8-consequences should be in-school suspension. Students need to really feel they did wrong but taking them out of school is not good | Reviewed | N | |
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | Pg. 8-What Are Exclusionary Consequences? Exclusionary consequences are disciplinary consequences that remove a student from classroom instruction for longer than thirty minutes, or longer than one class period. These include: • expulsion, • out-of-school suspension, • positive alternatives to suspension (see page 8), and • in-school suspension | Reviewed | N | |
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | Pg. 8-When Do Exclusionary Consequences Apply? Chart is confusing. Start with level 1, less severe offense to level 4-5 severe. Remove number for exclusionary practices and use bullets. Use dark arrows. | Reviewed | N | |
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | See chart on feedback form | Reviewed | N | |
| Exclusionary Consequences | 04 | Pg. 8-Order of level flow chart-maybe start with level 1 on left and work to level 4-5 on right | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | We worry that under the PICs it says we will provide a short time in the PIC room. We do not have staff for a PIC room during all hours of the school day. Currently we use a monitor only during lunch times. | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | At the elementary school level, we feel we need more information about how an abeyance contract would be implemented. | Reviewed | N (training issue) | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | The term "PIC Room," should say PIC or Buddy Room (since some schools, including our elementary school, use buddy rooms. Not all schools have specified PIC rooms. | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Abeyance Contract Must be Considered for All First Level 4 Offenses (We agree to this new change) | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 9-maybe use "positive alternatives to out of school suspension" to be clearerotherwise ISS is confusing | Reviewed | Revised on page 5 | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 10-we reviewed and agreed on the abeyance -all students given opportunities | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 8-Fulfilling the terms of the Abeyance Contract? Consider: If the student fulfills the terms of the Abeyance Contract, the student may have their suspension shortened or eliminated. | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|--|---------|---|----------|---|---------------------------|
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 8-What happens if administrators do not offer an abeyance contract? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 8-ISS-not clear | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | PG. 8-DAEP "continue their education" What does this mean? They can continue taking classes? Where (at Project MORE)? | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 8-reflection formWhat happens to the form? | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 8-PIC'sde-escalate the situationwhat situation? | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 8-Consider: The purpose of PICs is to provide disruptive students a place to go outside of class. A teacher can send a student to the PIC for a short time only (no more than 30 minutes or the remainer of one class period, whichever is less). The PIC will provide a positive and supportive environment where students can de-escalate if they are feeling angry, overwhelmed, or in need of a time-out. The social worker in the PIC will help students fill out a reflection form and work with the students to develop social and emotional tools they can use to handle their feelings, focus on learning, and return successfully to the classroom. | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 9- The Draft Code indicates that students "may take a short time to deescalate if they feel angry, overwhelmed, or in need of a time-out." (Draft Code at 6; see id. at 8 (under "Positive Intervention Centers").) While Mendoza Plaintiffs have no objection to the de-escalation time-outs or the PICs at which the time-outs will take place, the language of the Draft Code seems to suggest that students decide whether to take these time-outs. Mendoza Plaintiffs presume that teachers and other school staff can also make the decision about whether a student should take a de-escalation time out. If they are correct, they suggest that the District revise the Draft Code language to make this clear. | | Revised to add "so that a teacher can provide a student a short time" on page 5 | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | On page 9, The statement that the administrator MUST offer abeyance contracts when the violation is mutual combat, should include wording such as" unless there are serious injuries". | Reviewed | N | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Page 9. Abeyance contracts must be offered? Does that mean parents cannot appeal? | Reviewed | N | Parents may still appeal |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Clarification between ISI and ISS; is ISI student reassignment? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg. 9-Abeyance means to not instantly enforce the suspension. An Abeyance Contract Abeyance Contracts must be offered by administrators when a student has violated the following infractions: • Mutual combat (fighting) • Possession or use of drugs or alcohol | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|--|---------|---|----------|--|---------------------------|
| Positive Alternatives to Out-of-School Suspension | 05 | Pg.9-ISS is an alternative to short-term suspension where students may be supervised by a highly qualified teacher or other staff member. The students in ISS will continue to receive their core curriculum. (are they excluded from electives or extra curricular activities? | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| Due Process | 06 - 07 | Pg. 12-Does a parent have the right to send their child to school during the appeal? Is the student still suspended if the violation is non-violent | Reviewed | N | No and Yes |
| Due Process | 06 - 07 | Pg. 12-The Draft Code (at page 12) describes the appeal process and timeline for longterm suspensions, which can take up to 36 days. However, level 4 long-term suspensions which presumably involve the most common long-term suspensions are from between 11-30 days. Mendoza Plaintiffs are concerned about the possibility that a long-term suspended student may successfully appeal their suspension, but will be unable to do so until they have already served the entirety of their long-term suspension. Mendoza Plaintiffs are however mindful that the timeline provided in the Draft Code reflects maximum amounts of time within which specific steps in the appeal process are to occur. | Reviewed | N | |
| Due Process | 06 - 07 | We like the description of "due process." | Reviewed | N | |
| Due Process | 06 - 07 | Pg. 12-concern about "ongoing threat of disrupting the academic process:suggest "disrupting the academic process" and removing "ongoing threat of" | Reviewed | Remove "ongoing threat of" and add "disruption of" (corresponding change to regulation) on page 6 | |
| Due Process | 06 - 7 | The Draft Code provides a chart in which the long-term suspension decision and makes clear that if the student ultimately is administered a long-term suspension (regardless of whether they opt to serve that suspension at home or in DAEP), the time during which the student was short-term suspended pending the hearing will be "counted" toward their long-term suspension. Mendoza Plaintiffs believe this portion of the Draft Code should be revised to provide this clarification. | Reviewed | Revised, page 7 | |
| | | | | | |
| Action Levels | 08 | We understand the increase to a level 4. As long as it is ongoing and escalating and interventions are documented in Synergy, we feel sometimes an out of school suspension Is warranted. | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | At the elementary level, we feel possession and use should be higher | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Possession of drugs or alcohol should still be automatic hearing, no matter first, second or third. With the option of abeyance for the first violation as is. Second offense should be long-term 45 days or more. Possession once is a mistake, 2 or more is not a mistake. Leave in hands of hearing officer. Should remain level 4 and go to hearing. | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------------|---------|--|----------|---|---------------------------|
| Action Levels | 08 | Remove "ISI and Abeyances" from Discipline Review Team, ISI and abeyances occur far too frequently to be monitored in real time by the Team | Reviewed | Revised to clarify that immediate communications occur for suspensions but that the Team will still review the use of ISI and Abeyances on page 9 | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Under Level 1: Before referring a matter to site administration, classroom teachers are expected to employ at least three classroom-level interventions with proper documentation. | Reviewed | Revised to add "with proper documentation" on page 8 | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Please clarify: ""3 classroom interventions "before student behavior is expected to be addressed to admin-what does that look like in an elementary school where classroom teacher has that student all day? Clarify what that "time frame" looks like for elem, middle and high school levels? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Top of page 8 – We do not agree that it should be <u>mandatory</u> that administrators offer abeyances for fighting, and possession or use of drugs and alcohol. | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 14-reorganization of responses-we thought this list is a mix of interventions and consequences, like detention is not an interventionist's a consequencemaybe make it clear what a consequence is vs. an intervention, two columns rather than three, with clear labeling of what is a consequence vs. an intervention | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 14-concern about mutual combat going to level 4 One kid assaulting another is most serious, we're okay treating that as Level 4 Then, one kid is aggressor, but other kid responds and both engage in a fight – we agreed on those also being considered a "mutual assault" – DOJ agreed with treating these as 1-3 day suspension as a lesser form of Level 4they don't object to treating them as two different levels of assault Then (what we think of as most incidents), two kids in a classroom, one says something to the other, they start talking and pushing back and forththen that's the end of it, or someone throws a punch we think that would still be treated as a Level 3 o Seriousness of the physical conduct and the potential for being hurt + both kids targeting each other is a good linethat they walked away should not be the line o Intention of central folks is different than the intention of adminseven now, assault is being overused as we see in Q3OUR MAIN CONCERN IS THAT INCIDENTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY BEING CODED AS A FIGHT WILL NOW START BEING CODED AS LEVEL 4s WITH EXCLUSIONARY Maybe consider changing the definition of assault, taken from criminal codeif we mean one kid attacking another we should say that in the definition | Reviewed | This is a training issue that will address the use of Level 3 "Other Aggression" | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------------|---------|---|----------|---|---|
| Action Levels | 08 | We agree with the idea that no more than 3 classroom level interventions for behavior needs to be addressed by administration-having a limit helps | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | For substance abuse workshops, consider partnering with external organizations (TUSD used to do this) that can come in and do an evaluation with the student and the family to determine the root cause of potential addiction. If you are using social workers, they could also incorporate the student's family into the workshop and into fight mediations. | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Level 1 classroom interventions should be every semester, not every nine weeks | Reviewed | Revised to every semester on page 8 | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Fighting is an automatic level 4, which will impact our students here | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Action Levels | 08 | On page 14 "student agrees to attend drug or alcohol use workshop". Who will conduct the workshop? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | Social workers, counselors, RPPCs, etc. |
| Action Levels | 08 | At top of page 14, consequences is misspelled | Reviewed | Revised | |
| Action Levels | 08 | I applaud the effort to reduce the consequences for first time offenders of mutual combat and possession or use of drugs and alcohol. However, I caution that for mutual combat that seriously disrupts the school atmosphere, that leeway be given to administrators to remove students from school environment for the full three days. | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 14-Comments: A high school teacher has 165 students, seen in groups of 30 - 36. Requiring them to make 3 level one interventions before sending to MTSS every nine weeks is too time consuming. Further, I can understand resetting every nine weeks for young children, but not young adults. At 14-21, three times per school year should be enough. Also, currently, there is not enough MTSS staff to handle referrals in a timely manner, meaning that as the students do receive level 1 interventions by the teacher, without consistent follow up, behaviors continue and impeded the educational process for all students to due classroom disruption, and the time it takes the teacher to do the required documentations. Suggestions: Since high school students typically have 6-7 teachers, level 1 interventions should be counted inclusive of all teachers of the student who are identifying the behavior. MTSS staff increased to handle all of level 1 and 2 referrals within a reasonable time frame. To assess how much more staff is needed, survey classroom teachers, asking how many times they haven't made the referral due to lack of time to document, or number of times they received no response from MTSS team. I believe it is developmentally appropriate for young adults - high school age students- to receive only three level 1 or 2 classroom interventions by teacher per year; not per quarter. | N | Revised to every semester on page 8+G27 | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg-13: Guidance-What actions can teachers take? This document does not seem to provide for teacher rights to assign disciplinary consequences, unless I have missed something. | Reviewed | N | Level 1 actions, no revision |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 13-level 1- parent notification and conference request-Just a request? | Reviewed | N | yes, we cannot force parents to attend a conference |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--|----------|---|---------------------------|
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 13-For the whole chart, is the text going to be different sized fonts and colors, as it is here? | Reviewed | N | No |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 13-include components of restorative conferences/circles | Reviewed | Add "see page 3" after "restorative conference/circles" on page 8 | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 13-Action Level 3 should mandate at least in-school suspension, with the restorative actions required prior to re-integration into the school population. Most of the Level 3 violations listed are serious enough to warrant immediate suspension, not just in the case of continued or escalating misbehavior. | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Sexual harassment violation changed from level 3 to level 4; Indecent exposure/public sexual indecency and sexual harassment w/ contact changed to level 5 with police contact | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 14-What defines "on-going and escalating" on Level 3? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Action Levels | 08 | On pages 14 and 15, mutual combat lists two different consequences | Reviewed | Added "a second fight may result in a long-term suspension if approved by the Discipline Review Team and Ass't Sup't" on page 9 Added "or second offense" on page 10 (to match the update on page 9) | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Consider adding a loss of privileges and community service component | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | 9 weeks seems like a long time in level 1 | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Under level 4: what's an intake interview after suspension?; principals should be able to check for drugs and alcohol after a student offender returns without a time limit | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 14-Bold 1st and 2nd offense on page 13, action level 4 regarding possession or use of drugs. | Reviewed | N | |
| Action Levels | 08 | What is the substance abuse workshop? Is it free? Does the district offer the class? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Action Levels | 08 | Pg. 14-Clarify "every 9 weeks." Do teachers have the authority to decree all of these consequences? (Consider adding "hand shake along with verbal/written apology. | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | at the acknowledgement part, change the color so that parents know that they have to sign and return | Reviewed | N | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | there is a typo: "Remainer" instead of "Remainder." | Reviewed | Revised | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--|----------|---|--|
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Under the Guidelines for Applying Consequences on page 12 it says: 2. Principals and APs at the elementary level (Pre-K-5 th Grade) may consider all violations, with the exception of possession of firearms or any incidence of threat to an educational institution, at one level lower than that of the actual violation. Our understanding is that elementary principals and APs have been directed to impose consequences at one level lower, it is not an option. If it is not an option – it cannot say MAY | Reviewed | N | It is an option |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Pg. 12-If elementary principals and APs are directed to impose a consequence at one level lower, consider adding a column for action levels headed Elementary | Reviewed | N | It is an option |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Pg. 16- Mendoza Plaintiffs are confused about the District's approach to elevation of disciplinary consequences and are concerned about how elevations may be applied under the approach proposed in the Draft Code. As an initial matter, as reflected in the current operative GSRR, the parties and Special Master previously agreed to elevations of disciplinary consequences of no more than one level. (See GSRR at 21 (Administrators "will not permit a single type of behavior to be elevated more than one level, regardless of frequency or occurrence").) Mendoza Plaintiffs are concerned that students with repeat relatively minor level 1 violations, for example kissing six times within a nine-week period, could end up being administered exclusionary discipline if consequences are elevated two levels under the Draft Code language. Mendoza Plaintiffs presume that the proposed Draft Code language will not so be applied, however, they are confused about what issue(s) the District may be trying to address by permitting elevations of two levels. Their confusion is compounded by their understanding that the District has in the past only infrequently elevated students' disciplinary sequences. Mendoza Plaintiffs therefore request clarification on this issue and other issues raised by the proposal to allow for elevations of two levels. | Reviewed | N | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | #1, where it is stated , "must communicate with Student Equity immediately' when suspending students, admin is saying that notifying immediately might be impossible and would like further written communication on this topic | Reviewed | N | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Pg. 16-bold the words "with approval "regarding elevating discipline levels | Reviewed | Revised to bold and underline the words "if approved" on page 9 | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | item 1-"communicate with student equity" communicate what? "Equity will review all suspensions" for what? | Reviewed | N | To ensure consistent application of the GSRR |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | item 2-"shall consider all violations"this is not clear. Example? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | item 3-not clear | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | item 4-'waiver of mandatory actions" not clear | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---|----------|--|--|
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | item 8-Actions. Why capitalized now? Not clear and repetitive | Reviewed | N | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | item 9-but no other defenses? | Reviewed | N | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | item 10-not clear | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Page 16. Rough play is not addressed. Clarification needed – Do all level 2 infractions go to administrators directly | Reviewed | N | Teachers may but are not required to address Level 2 behaviors |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | PG. 16-" must communicate with the Discipline Review team immediately to jointly review the suspension. —It should not be necessary for a Discipline Review team to immediately review the suspension. —This action should remain with the principal. If the principal has a question about the need or requirement for the suspension — they can make the call to the Assistant Superintendent or Director/Discipline Review team. These should be an option not a mandate. — Monitoring is not determining or deciding the actions of the principal. Monitoring is reviewing and providing insight to the principal after the fact. | Reviewed | N | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Pg. 16-We are not in agreement with the statement in section 3 If approved, the Discipline Review Team will forward the request to the Assistant Superintendent for final approval. These suspensions need to be made in a timely manner and not be placed into a waiting game for approval. Principal is trained or may be trained on the requirements for suspensions. Principals may then act on these issues quickly and correctly under the guidelines that are required by the district. | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Pg. 16An administrator must immediately notify an Assistant Superintendent and the Discipline Review Team when law enforcement is contacted. — Principals are currently sending this information to their directors as needed. What is the importance of having to "immediately notify an Assistant Superintendent and the Discipline Review Team" we need to show that we trust the work of the principal. | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Pg. 16-Clarify item #2. | Reviewed | N | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Pg. 16-On #6, is this true for all cases or is it case by case? | Reviewed | Revised to include bold on "shall consider" | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Pg. 16-2nd bullet-is this a new thing? | Reviewed | N | |
| Guidelines for Applying Consequences | 09 | Pg. 16-very important info but needs bigger font | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|-------------------|---------|--|-----------|---|--|
| Mutual Combat | 10 | Pg. 14-it appears that the approach reflected in the Draft Code would result in a great expansion of exclusionary discipline with respect to misbehavior that does not now warrant such discipline and effectively return the District to the approach that was so problematic in the 2016 GSRRFAQ. Further, by making all "mutual combat" a level 4 infraction requiring at least some suspension days, the Draft Code conflicts with USP Section VI, B, 2, a, requiring that exclusionary discipline be limited to "ongoing and escalating" misbehavior imposed after appropriate interventions have been attempted and documented. | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | The District expects this change to result in less days out of school by addressing fighting with mediation and abeyances rather than long-term suspension |
| Mutual Combat | 10 | Mendoza Plaintiffs are particularly concerned with the Draft Code approach to "mutual combat" given that, following (and notwithstanding) delivery of the October 20, 2016 Principals' Letter to TUSD principals, District data showed a pattern of TUSD administrators improperly coding incidents as "Aggression-Assault" and administering exclusionary discipline even though "a significant number of the incidents involve[d] mutual conduct, and therefore should, based on the information provided, [have been] treated as Aggression-Fighting (and therefore not [have led to the imposition of] exclusionary discipline)." (See January 5, 2017 United States' Evaluation of Fighting Incidents memo.) Based on this experience, Mendoza Plaintiffs believe that, as a practical matter, were the Draft Code to take effect, teachers and administrators would similarly overcode incidents of aggression between students as "mutual combat" resulting in exclusionary discipline. | Reviewed | N | |
| Mutual Combat | 10 | "Mutual Combat (Fighting)" is "defined as a physical altercation in which both parties are willing participants, where a preponderance of evidence notes that both parties had one or more opportunities to de-escalate the situation, leave the situation, or notify a school official of the potential fight prior to making the decision to participate in the physical altercation/fight." (Draft Code at 15.) This definition appears to be very fact-intensive, requiring a detailed assessment of the circumstances around a physical altercation and does not seem to fully appreciate that fights often can occur within a matter of moments (thereby complicating assessment efforts) or that it may often be the case that investigation of a physical altercation may result in little or conflicting information on the circumstances surrounding such altercation. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violeties Classia | 10 17 | Negative Group Affiliation-we would like to see this as a [possible school safety | Douberral | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | contact] Leaving School Without Permission: We are seeing many students who come | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | | from homes of trauma running or hiding off campus. We feel this is a level 2 offense as it is a high safety concern. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Page 18-22, (Per Arizona Revised Statue) should be added to each box. | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|------------------|---------|---|----------|-------------------------------|---|
| Violation Charts | | "fighting" where it states in bold, "will be granted an automatic waiver of the mandatory long-term suspension-more clarification on what the "waiver" would be | Reviewed | N | The waiver means mutual combat does not automatically carry a mandatory long-term (10- 30 day) suspension like other Level 4 violations |
| Violation Charts | | The Site Council loved that fights were elevated to a 4. We were all of with the verbs being changed and some of the categories being combined. All other wording that was changed was also accepted. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | | Members questioned why "Other School Threat (verbal)went down from a 5 to a 4. Carol Rodriguez explained what a 4 meant as far as suspension versus a 5 which means long term hearing and an expulsion hearing. Also went over what Pima County Sheriff's Department does when called for this kind of threat. Site Council is OK with a level 4. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | We like the defiance towards authority has been raised to a level two. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | We are concerned that the increase in verbiage in the definition of a fight might make it more difficult to give consequences for fights. We are also concerned about the "evidence" required that could be manipulated. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | We are concerned about truancy as a level 1 consequence. This is a safety issue. We think it is more of a safety concern at middle school or lower grade levels versus High school level students. We are also concerned about student's not taking truancy seriously which could effect safety of students during lockdowns or fire drills. We would like students walking out of class to be considered to be more than a level 1 offense. We also think students should face more than a level 1 for leaving school grounds without permission because we are required to contact law enforcement if students are missing off of school grounds. | Reviewed | N | Repeated truancy may be elevated if interventions do not work to correct the behavior |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | We also have concerns regarding the level of vandalism in the GSRR. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Decreased verbiage in the definition of a fight particularly where it says "where a preponderance of evidence notes that both parties had one or more opportunities to de-escalate the situation, leave the situation, or notify a school official of the potential fight prior to making the decision to participate in the physical altercation/fight" | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Firearms section: Description is confusing. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Firearms section: It says "without authorization by a school administrator." Why would someone be authorized to have a firearm or other weapon? We don't agree with that. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 17-definition of assault matches threat or intimidationnot aligned, should be differentiated – another reason not to use the definition for assault | Reviewed | Revised definition of Assault | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | PG. 18-Unknown Drug" is confusing, not sure if it is even used. If not, does it need to be included? | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | In the Assault section page 17, check for punctuation | Reviewed | Revised | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|------------------|---------|---|----------|--|--|
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 19-The definitions of Total defiance and Disorderly Conduct need to be clarified. Total defiance needs to be addressed more seriously. It destroys the learning environment, can potentially be dangerous, ties a school in knots, and keeps valuable personnel from doing their jobs. It needs to be a suspendable offense. Disorderly conduct at the elementary level does not allow for out of class time (with the action level being lowered one level). | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 16-"the AZ Dept. of Ed has identified" Where? When? This section is legalistic, and the tone is very different from above. Can we bring them closer, if you will, in tone and language? | Reviewed | Revised, removed reference to the ADE | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 16-Fighting (mutual combat) The term "mutual combat" is unnecessarily vague. Consider "mutual fighting. Preponderance of evidenceWhy this standard? Do readers understand what this means, and is it to apply in the legal sense? | Reviewed | N | |
| violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 16-Assault-Given that this is the legal definition, it may be helpful to provide some natural language about what this means, particularly as compared to "fighting." | Reviewed | Revised definition of Assault | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 17- Agg assault "teacher or school employee"It seems as though enough students are charged with this that it is worth highlighting. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 20-criminal damage definition-"administrators may consider" And teachers? | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 21-combine the two technology violations into one | Reviewed | N | One is a Level 2, the other is a Level 3 |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 21-"posting videos of fights"Not certain this should go here-the students don't use school property to post | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 21-threat/intimidation "intentionally placing another person"Same definition is in the assault violation | Reviewed | Revised definition of Assault | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 22-These last pages need revising and editing. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 23-Firearms -Revised to align with Policy JICI | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 24-Simulated Firearm-Comments: Section on simulated firearms needs to be clarified. As currently written, something as simple as pointing your finger at somebody and saying "Bang" could be described as a simulated firearm | Reviewed | Added reference to Policy JICI on page 17 | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 19- The one area I think needs further attention is Attendance. I see no consequences for chronic absences. It used to be that students earned No Credit if they missed too many high school classes. However, with the adoption of Synergy, I understand that is no longer the case. When I look at the GSRR, it seems that any attendance issue is Level 1, but that seems unlikely to solve attendance problems. I'd recommend returning to the NC system, as well as instituting a progressive consequence system in the GSRR that allows administrators to escalate consequences for repeated attendance issues. | Reviewed | N | In extreme circumstances, a site may request an elevated consequence |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Looks like a typing error on page 2 in the Arson section. "But ma" should be "But may?" | Reviewed | Revised | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|------------------|---------|---|----------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Page 21- Clarify conflict vs. bullying | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Page 22. What is the elementary version of harassment, sexual contact? | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 20-Vandalism damage "exceeding \$5000" should be lowered to \$500 to have more impact in deterring vandalism | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 22-® Sexual Harassment violations are inconsistent with our mandatory reporting policies. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 17-drug vio definition-On school grounds? | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 23- petty Theft Stealing cash, or property, valued under \$100 – We feel this violation should be Action Level 3 or the value changed to be under \$50. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 22-Harassment, Sexual – We feel this violation should be Action Level 4 | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 22-Pornography – We feel this violation should be Action Level 4 | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 34-Dangerous Items: Possessing a knife with a blade length of less than 2.5 inches, air soft gun, b.b.gun, laser pointer, letter opener, mace/pepper spray, paintball gun, pellet gun, razor blade/box cutter, simulated knife, Taser or stun gun, tear gas, firecrackers, smoke and stink bombs, gas, lighter fluid, and other dangerous items (anything that under the circumstances in which it is used, attempted to be used or threatened to be used is readily capable of causing death or serious physical injury). – We feel the highlighted items should be moved to the category "Other Weapons" and be Action Level 4. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Truancy will not be reduced until it is elevated above a level 1. We have pages of interventions for teachers and administrators to keep students in class; but treat intentional student absence as a minor offense. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Tardiness/truancy should automatically be elevated to the next level after a set number of occurrences. For example, after 10 occurrences it becomes a level 2 offense. After 10 more, it becomes a level 3. These students are negatively impacting the learning of all other students on a daily basis and must be stopped. | Reviewed | N | A site may request an elevated consequence |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Attendance violations should not be level 1. That is not strong enough. Compare to district attendance data. Is this level working to curtail ditching or tardies? | Reviewed | N | A site may request an elevated consequence |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | We need more explicit definition of sexual harassment with contact. Does "Slap Butt" qualify? | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 18-Add vapes to the tobacco violations | Reviewed | Revised, added to page 11 | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 16-On page 16, everything should be level 3 or higher | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 17-under endangerment, please give better examples than skateboarding on campus | Reviewed | Revised to include more examples | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--|----------|--|---------------------------|
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 19-some of the elements seem more serious than others, for example categorizing not following directions as the same as swearing at a staff member lacks merit; perhaps swearing at a staff member could be categorized under threat and intimidation | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 20- Under graffiti and tagging- or "other surface" is too ambiguous; does it pertain to property other than TUSD property? | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 19-add swearing at staff members under threat or intimation to increase the action level | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 22-under sexual assault or rape- the age of consent law should be stated | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 18-under tobacco violation, there should be an exclusion for Native student cultural/religious possession (e.g., medicine pouches). This type of possession should also be addressed in the search and seizure provisions of the GSRR to ensure appropriate cultural handling. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 19-under Disruption there is no clear definition for "out-of-seat behavior." Without a clear definition, it is too broad and left to the sole discretion of the teacher. There is no clear expectation for the student to understand and adhere to. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 19-The words following "Contraband and combustible" are misspelled | Reviewed | Revised | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 22-Consider making sexual harassment a level 4 in some cases | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 23-fenced commercial We think the word building is missing | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 24- include scissors | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 17-Defiance and Disrespect towards authority and non-compliance should not be a level 2. It should be a level 3. | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 19-Lighters in school premises with intent to use should be a level 3 | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | Pg. 18-alphabetize the chart | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | use bigger font | Reviewed | N | |
| Violation Charts | 10 - 17 | very important info but too small-font hard to read | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| Discipline Related Policies and Regs | 18 - 19 | Pg. 10-Bus consequences should be better defined | Reviewed | N | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Dress code and cell phone policy needs more explanation/detail. | Reviewed | Add statement that policies are available upon request at any school site, family center, or District office on pages 18-19 | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Weapons (JICI) "weapons are not allowed on campus or property without prior authorization." This needs more with clarity. Prior authorization from whom? | Reviewed | Add "by a school administrator" on page 18 | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Discipline related policies should be placed in the back for reference | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|-----------------------------|---------|---|----------|--|--|
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Great links in the back of the manual to different policies so they are easy to find. | Reviewed | N | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Pg. 10-attendance policy-Is this code distributed to students in hard copy at the beginning of the year? Or do students and parents only have access online? I think they should only have access online, except for the form that acknowledges that they read and will follow the Code, which should be distributed to parents directly. | Reviewed | N | Yes, and they have access to it online |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Pg. 10-Bus rules-Misleading. Consider removing—parents and students should sign a form that requires that they acknowledge that they know and agree to follow the rules. In that case, it is not that they "should discuss" or "make sure they know." Rather, they "must"—they are required to adhere to the rules, and they sign a form that acknowledges as much. | Reviewed | N | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | On page 9, there should be a summary of the policies, not just a web link. | Reviewed | N | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Descriptions under the policies are too brief especially for the cell phone policy; Page 12 eliminate abbreviations | Reviewed | N | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Pg. 10-the dress code policy needs to include allowance of traditional dress/regalia and honor symbols (e.g., eagle feathers) for important school events (e.g., graduation). | Reviewed | N | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Pg. 10-"pre authorization for weapon" What does that mean? | Reviewed | N | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Pg. 10-Ex Ed handbook should be a part of this info too | Reviewed | Revised to include web link | |
| Discipline Related Policies | 18 - 19 | Pg. 10-Left justify all policies and regulations | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| Due Process 504/IEP | | Pg. 11-"and students suspected of having a disability:Not clear. Can anyone not be suspected of having a disability. "may be disciplines in the same manner as any other student"this is unclear. Manifestation Determinationwho must be included in the conference? Who leads of facilitates it? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Due Process 504/IEP | 19 | Pg. 11- Mani Paragraph-Do they use data or evidence, or can they just conclude based on their own private measures? "Develop an appropriate behavior plan"When? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| Due Process 504/IEP | 19 | Pg. 11-:The district may impose"Who is the district? As determined by who? Please assign an individual, etc. | Reviewed | Removed "the district" and added "the hearing officer" on page 19 | |
| Due Process 504/IEP | 19 | Pg. 11-"the district has no obligation to continue to provide" Not clear | Reviewed | Added "pursuant to the 504 accomodation plan" on page 19 for clarification | |
| Due Process 504/IEP | 19 | Pg. 11-Where can readers go to find out more about the difference between 504 and IDEA, etc.? | Reviewed | Added a link to the ExEd Dep't website on page 19 | |
| Due Process 504/IEP | 19 | Pg. 11-"circumstances involving the use or possession: Not clear | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|-----------------------------|---------|---|----------|--|---------------------------|
| Due Process 504/IEP | 19 | Pg. 11-for those with IEP or 504 needs to be included in the following section under due process. Left justify and remove underline. Provide link to the EE handbook. | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| Rights and Responsibilities | 20 | Pg. 26-This section should also be placed in the first pages of the document to provide a general overview, after the letter from the Supt., and to provide a foundation for the rules. | Reviewed | N | |
| Rights and Responsibilities | 20 | Pg. 26-perhaps the 4th bullet under parent and guardian responsibilities should be moved up; under the first bullet, "attend school daily according to school district adopted" should be eliminated | Reviewed | N | |
| Rights and Responsibilities | 20 | should be moved to after page 3. | Reviewed | N | |
| Rights and Responsibilities | 20 | Pg. 26-under Parent Responsibilities parents do not attend school every day. | Reviewed | N | |
| Rights and Responsibilities | 20 | Pg. 26-Separate student/parents rights and responsibilities into 2 pages. | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| Annual Notifications | 21 | Do I have to attend if I don't want to | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| General | | We feel we need more information about :Reassignment to a different class". We would like "Temporary Alternative Setting" added | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | We feel that sometimes talking with school safety officers can be valuable. We are not requesting that it be an intimidating setting, just another adult who is worried about the safety of a student. There are times we would like to include the proactive resource of school safety at a level 3. | Reviewed | This will be addressed in training to ensure staff are cler that Safety can participate in interventions but not in administering disciplinary consequences | |
| General | | A Board member noted that the placement review committee process [see 15-841] is not in the GSRR. | Reviewed | Revised to include the following note: "the PIC process is not a substiture for the placement review committee process in the ARS 15-841 or Article 14 of the TEA Consensus Agreement" on page 5 | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|--|----------|--|---------------------------|
| General | | Need to use "guardian" not just parent; Our Site Council feels that the language is written for "highly educated" individuals with education and background or working in a school; Page 4-last paragraph-Students or parent(s) may file a complaint with the site principal, assistant principal or, Student Equity at | Reviewed | Revised to include "guardians" on page 2 | |
| General | | Last night, in connection with IJNDB, the board noted that the direction in IJNDB references that students are responsible for not going to inappropriate places on the internet, but that is not cross referenced in GSRR. I know there is a misuse of tech there, and I am not sure if we made a clear connection to the applicable policies like we are now doing with guns. | Reviewed | IJNDB has not yet been approved | |
| General | | Need a system of funded consequences (lunch det, after school) and a structured system | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Open Enrollment students are automatically on behavior plan (i.e 3 strikes out) | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | All school should have adequate admin to deal with behavior issues; all schools have equal admin | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Removed disorderly conduct-now other aggression | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Level 3 should be level 4-such as sex harassment | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Add language around parent behavior/policy for parents to understand | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Policy for parents R&R with expectations on campuses | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | The Rights and Responsibilities (p. 23) should be placed directly in front of the Code of Conduct Acknowledgement form (p. 5) | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | GSRR should be available in more languages | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | There are not proposed changes that are needed to the draft of the GSRR. However, we might need some clarification. A site council member was present when Dr. Trujillo talked about the GSRR and the use of yellow and red cards. Member stated that Dr. Trujillo stated that once a yellow card is given it does not have to be given again in the quarter and students are automatically the sent with office referrals. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Please define incident | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | It should be broken down by age appropriate levels and it truly is not. We also think it is too vague. Not specific. | Reviewed | This will be addressed in training | |
| General | | The exclusionary consequences are confusing and so to help, we would like to see a basic table ("cheat sheet") at the front of the GSRR which then references to more detailed pages to define terms, as well as a glossary in the back. We would like to see more detailed descriptions of offenses towards the back of the GSRR packet after the basic table with violations and consequences at the front of the manual. We need this "cheat sheet" to interpret information and unclear terms. | Reviewed | This will be addressed in training | |
| General | | We are concerned that their is not a clear system for addressing ongoing and escalating level two behaviors. | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|---|----------|--|---|
| General | | We also have some concerns about out of school suspensions in general, because students fall behind on school work and sometimes view it as free time. We think students would be better off in a DAEP type program where they will be supervised and continue to complete school work | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | We don't like the term, "Mutual Combat." We think that "fighting," should be used instead of the term, "combat." | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | There is a lot of color which looks nice online. If this is printed in B & W for the paper version, it would be a lot of ink used which is costly. We'd recommend less use of color for the printed version. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Simplify the GSRR and put it in kid-friendly language | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | GSRR needs to he differentiated by grade level | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | We think we need more counselors and social workers at the elementary level | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Concerns about exclusionary practices-results in unsafe situations in classrooms when students are escalated | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | When a child is removed from a classroom for extreme behavior, that time should be determined by the level of behavior, not an arbitrary time limit | Reviewed | Added "no more than" the remainder of one class period on page 5 | |
| General | | We felt that the new layout of the GSRR looked great. We really liked how the parent signature page was moved to the front of the book. We felt that moving fighting to a level 4 was appropriate. Terri the community representative for Whitmore was concerned about students that are defiant should have a more severe consequence. She felt that a disruptive student affects the learning of others and should be an immediate removal from the classroom. Overall, we felt the changes that were made were appropriate | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | It needs more clarification and guidelines about DAEP and abeyances | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Concerned about using 910G funds to print the document | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Family friendly formatted | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | PBIS integration is a good thing | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | What is the status of Positive Intervention Centers? | Reviewed | N | see page 8, they will be in place in most MS, HS, and some K8s |
| General | | Throughout the GSRR there is reference to Assistant Superintendent. I understand the title will change to Regional Superintendent. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Good-no additional comments | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Cover page-are you using TUSD1 or not? | Reviewed | N | Not |
| General | | Cover pgbold the word LOVE | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | A Board member noted that the placement review committee process is not in the GSRR | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | we need to take out the LSC language if it is not already removed | Reviewed | Removed LSC reference, added counselors and RPPFs page 3 | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|--|----------|---|---|
| General | | Agree with adding mutual combat as there are often situations like this. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | include other inappropriate actions that require consequences if filmed or posted, like graffiti and vandalism | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | Agree with verbal school threat up to a level 4 | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | I do like how it's more detailed than the previous version. Makes a lot more sense now and I can understand it better. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Question about discipline process for students with severe trauma. What is the plan for behavior supports? Student is continually disruptive and needs help. | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | More training for teachers and administrators related to the GSRR | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | How do you ensure consistent application of the GSRR through training (recommend that all new administrators participate in a 4 hour training – response levels, school safety perspective, scenarios in a classroom environment) | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | Dr. Trujillo's letter, second paragraph – determine is spelled wrong; page 18 – Cheating – spelling error on line one. Also, section on Arson – Arson of a Structure – misspelled word work in parenthesis. | Reviewed | Revised | |
| General | | I would like to see suspensions of children whose parents do not return the calls to the school when the child is involved in a disciplinary situation. It is not fair to children whose parents are involved and attentive to be victimized by students whose parents avoid any responsibility for their children's behavior. I would say that if a parent does not respond to the school when they are trying to contact them regarding a disciplinary issue, the child is suspended until the parent responds. If this lasts more than two days, social services is contacted. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | The other issue my child has at school is an inability to learn due to a disruptive class where the teacher refuses to take action against the children who are disrupting the class. I believe a lack of disciplinary enforcement by teachers should be grounds for discipline for them, including potential termination. If my child is the victim of a crime, any crime, i.e. assault, theft, etc., I would like to be notified immediately. How am I supposed to feel secure that my child is safe at school if I am not notified when he is the victim of another child. This happened recently and it has destroyed my trust in the school's engagement in the safety of my child. | Reviewed | N | The District seeks to immediately contact parents when a crime occurs |
| General | | Please don't ask teachers to enforce dress code unless the administrators back us. Every year this is a problem. Be consistent in enforcing and make it the admin's problem not the teachers!! | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | The team reviewed the process and agrees the plan is comprehensive and have no suggestions for improvement. It is long so our hope is that parents and students will take the time to read it and understand that TUSD stands behind the document. | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|---|----------|--|--|
| General | | Simplify the information is the GSRR as much as possible and focus on key points that are the most important for the parent and student to know about each topic. The policies and justifications for practices should not be included in the text of the GSRR. All of this information can be indexed on the last page of the GSRR with sites/info on where a parent can access the policies and justifications if they choose to do so. As a parent, when I look at the GSRR I want to clearly be able to ascertain 1) What my and my student's expectations are 2) what the school's responsibilities are 3) what the violations/consequences are if my student gets in trouble and 4) How the school will act on each consequence | | Revised, policies moved to the back of the handbook | |
| General | | Zero tolerance (action levels 4 or 5) for any form of sexual harassment. From verbal to rape | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | The dress code is sexist. 90% of the articles of clothing listed that can not be worn, are for girls. The types of clothing are also outdated. As a teacher I understand there is a line but we have better things to be doing than checking if a student is showing her shoulder. Suggestion- Please Update the article of clothing or create some blanket statements that can apply to all genders. For example "no clothing that reveals undergarments or the swimsuit area." Also state why TUSD has this policy in the handbook. | Reviewed | N | The District is in the process of revising the dress code policy |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|--|----------|---|--|
| General | | Comments: Dress code violations regarding "distracting" clothing need either to be fully supported by administrators, or we need to rewrite that section of the GSSR. High school students at my site routinely wear "yoga pants" or sports pants which are skin-tight, revealing TMI. Many, many students use extremely short shorts. Many, many students wear spaghetti straps or off-shoulder blouses. When the high number of infractions becomes so unwieldy as to be impossible to address, it appears that that rule does not "count." When one rule does not matter, the other rules quickly come into question. When there appear not to be consequences for breaking a small rule, it is easy for students to extrapolate that there are no consequences for breaking any rule. Also, the claim that the district is not dictating "style" can be contested quickly by students who will say that that is exactly what the district is doing. Suggestions: Simplify and abbreviate the dress code description. Word it positively, rather than as a list of what is not allowed. Set it as a goal, not a rule. Example: "Dress as if you take yourself and your education seriously." Instead of penalties / consequences (of which there are none at this point), either have a discussion about what that description would look like, or just chalk the offending attire up to the student's answer: "I don't take myself or my education seriously." As a teacher, I simply cannot address the astounding number of dress code violations I encounter, although I do take my responsibilities seriously and wish to uphold the GSSR in my classroom. Have a student-produced fashion show at the beginning of the school year, showing examples and non-examples of school-appropriate clothing. Include prices, so students cannot claim that it would cost too much. Give PBIS "bucks" for appropriate clothing. Direct students to a clothing bank, if indeed they cannot afford to purchase school-appropriate clothing. | Reviewed | N | The District is in the process of revising the dress code policy |
| General | | Comments: The GSRR is full of highly complex language and acronyms. Suggestions: Provide a glossary of terms Provide a simplified version for elementary schools | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Comments: Pbis is rewarding the badly behaved kids and leaving out those that are already good students. You need to start making parents take more responsibility for the actions of their children. The behavior problems are getting worse and taking away from the learning of others. Suggestions: Require parents to attend meetings and sign family behavior contracts before disruptive students can return. Make parents come into the classroom when their kid can't behave. Make consequences based on behavior not skin color. It angers me that those of color often get a pass because they might pull the race card. It is often those very people who believe they are owed something causing safety issues | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|--|----------|---|---------------------------|
| General | | Comments: Thanks for all the work you are putting into this document and for asking about feedback. The steps taken for aggression and vandalism seem to be going on the right track. I would like to see more done about kids running off campus. Suggestions: I hope students at the elementary level will be held accountable for each level of misconduct. Teachers are told that with elementary level offenses, kids are not held accountable at that criteria. Kids are committing the same destruction and aggression every day. Our administrator has been directed to "bump" the offenders down to the previous level because students are elementary age. The code needs to be clear and effective for everyone. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Comments: There needs to be stiffer consequences for inappropriate behavior. And the inappropriate behavior needs to be spelled out so the students and teachers know what is to be expectednot just the positive expectations but the negative behaviorsSuggestions: Cameras in the classroomsboth audio and video. This was the parents as well as the students can see what the student is doing | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Comments: Worst Ever!! WHAT HAPPENED TO THE STUDENT/PARENT RIGHTS!! What if the Parent doesn't have access to the internet? How are they supposed to access these links to further explain the material in the GSRR? Believe or not NOT everyone has internet - Still. Plus TEACHERS NEED a dress code TOO. RESTORATIVE CIRCLES is Baloney it DOES NOT work. While it is nice to see who the principle is a picture it is unnecessary; trust me if a parent wants to know who the principle is they will find out no picture need - only the letter is needed. Suggestions: What happened to the work the Code of conduct focus group was doing? Look at some of that work and incorporate some of the ideologies. Bring back the focus group with the group of people representing all stake holders. Teachers, parents, community members, and students for fairness! Otherwise this is one-sided Document!! | Reviewed | Revised policy and reg pages to note that policies are available upon request at all school sites, family centers, or the central office. Pages 18-19 | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|---|----------|--|--------------------------------|
| General | | Comments: - What will be the consequence for students who refuse to sign or return the acknowledgement form? - Teachers must be notified of any students with Abeyance contracts and given a copy of the contract What will be the consequence for students placed in ISS who refuse to participate in lessons? - Our middle school does not currently have a PIC. Will this be implemented next school year? - Why isn't parent contact such as phone calls, texts or e-mails counted as classroom-level interventions? This will discourage teachers from making regular parent contact, since they will need to reserve more time for parent conferences The GSRR needs to spell out what steps can be taken when parent contact is required, but parents are unavailable. Some parents actively avoid school contact to keep their student's discipline from being escalated Truancy will not be reduced until it is elevated above a level 1. We have pages of interventions for teachers and administrators to keep students in class, but treat intentional student absence as a minor offense Tardiness/truancy should automatically be elevated to the next level after a set number of occurrences. For example, after 10 occurrences it becomes a level 2 offense. After 10 more, it becomes a level 3. These students are negatively impacting the learning of all other students on a daily basis and must be stopped. | Reviewed | These issues will be addressed through training | |
| General | | No suggestions. We appreciated the ease of use and noted it was more user friendly than the previous version. We liked how each offense and action was mapped out. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Adding a Safe Zone Disclaimer would be a good addition | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | All print should be in black | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Pg. 4-Typo-parenthesis after parent | Reviewed | Revised | |
| General | | Pg. 14-will there be training ion the new guidelines for applying consequences | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | Pg. 17-with attendance being included in state letter grades for schools, what more can be done to enforce attendance policies? Why is it only level 1? When can an infraction be elevated? | Reviewed | N | see elevation rules on page 15 |
| General | | Suggestions: In-school suspension; community service; alternative location for 3 day OSS | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Suggestion: a 3 day suspension is a good consequence but it should be tied to community service options. Some students who get to stay home might not see it as a big consequence. There should also be a record kept that after so many suspensions something else can be done. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Support the draft-no feedback | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Font size is too small, especially the Table OF Contents | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | We like that it has more explanation of What the Code is, guiding Principles, etc. | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|---|----------|---|---|
| General | | Have books ready for the beginning of the school year. Supply extra for new students | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Enumerate "Larger K-8 school size for PPC | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | What does in-school suspension look like at the elementary level? | Reviewed | N | Reassignment to another classroom or office |
| General | | Language is " legal". It may be difficult for parents to understand. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | We liked Dr. Trujillo's introduction to this document and agreed as a group that it was a nice way to introduce the GSSR. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Concern that some offenses that are illegal to the public, are considered Action Level 2 in the GSRR | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Replace language with simpler, shorter, and clearer language for students and families | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | What will be the consequence for students who refuse to sign or return the acknowledgement form? | Reviewed | N | None |
| General | | Teachers must be notified of any students with Abeyance contracts and given a copy of the contract | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | What will be the consequence for students placed in ISS who refuse to participate in lessons? | Reviewed | N | They won't get credit for the work |
| General | | Our middle school does not currently have a PIC. Will this be implemented next school year? | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Why isn't parent contact, such as, phone calls, text or e-mails counted as classroom-level interventions? This will discourage teachers from making regular parent contact, since they will need to reserve more time for parent conferences. | Reviewed | N | It is counted as an intervention |
| General | | The GSRR needs to spell out what steps can be taken when parent contact is required, but parents are unavailable. Some parents actively avoid school contact to keep their student's discipline from being escalated. | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | There should be an elementary version of the Code of Conduct | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Will ISI require content-certified teachers? If so, does that mean that a content- area teacher will have to take a period of ISI instead of having one teacher in there for the day? | Reviewed | N | ISI uses certified teachers, not necessarily content-specific |
| General | | On page 6, Learning Supports Coordinators are mentioned. This needs to be changed to MTSS Facilitator. | Reviewed | Removed | |
| General | | Administrator concerns over whether this aligns to the TPD matrix | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Clarify type of suspension for Mutual Combat. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Substance Abuse Workshop is mentioned. Where/when will this occur? District-sponsored? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | Pg. 12-what does what does 'req'ts: mean? | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|--|----------|---|---------------------------|
| General | | Pg. 12-Mutual combat-Who completes the mediation-principal or counselor? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | Possession or use of drugs/alcohol-who performs the substance abuse workshops? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | Pg. 15-assault-if serious injury occurs, we are obligated to report, should this be noted in this section? | Reviewed | This will be addressed through training | |
| General | | Need better release of materials-many parents never received the GSRR and have multiple kids in the district | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | more in-school alternatives to suspension. OSS is not a leering experience or effective consequence for students because kids just see it as days off and they just get behind in school work. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Change name to "Student Success Handbook" | Reviewed | Revised | |
| General | | Change "all" at end of PIC paragraphit's "most" HS MS and some K8s | Reviewed | Revised | |
| General | | Add "only in rare circumstances, level 4 OR 5" | Reviewed | Revised | |
| General | | PG.3-GB names/titles box-this is not accurate | Reviewed | Revised | |
| General | | Pg. 2-delete repeated comma in discrimination statement | Reviewed | Revised | |
| General | | We approve this document. We like the way level 4 violations are addressed in the GSRR for 218-19. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | OK as is :) | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Add a section that specifically references to cyberbullying and social media. Recommend more explicit references to social media and anti-bullying (cyber bullying) throughout the document | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | We recommend that Multicultural Student Services and the programs/services provided be included in intervention/discipline based model. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Add Youth/Peer Court program model in alignment with national models as a supportive school discipline practice in addition to PBIS and Restorative practices. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | If we are worried about the competency of principals then the director or the assistant superintendent should step in with more requirements. However, there are many principals who are following and implementing the guidelines – the district needs to support these efforts. If we make our principals have to ask for permission to suspend – we are showing doubt in the work they need to accomplish. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | We like the idea of implementing the art work. I appreciate the voice of the book. It is helpful, informative and is directed to our families and students. Letter from the Superintendent is a positive addition. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | We have stated the need for the principal to have the final say of what the discipline students will receive at their school. The principal needs to be consistent and training for our administrators will produce this consistency. The idea that the principal needs to go to a team to make final discipline actions does not show that we support the role of the principal. Please see above and it is actually page 14 that has these requirements. | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|---|----------|----------|---------------------------|
| General | | if I'm signing something, I want to see what I'm signing; signing page should be at the end of book; make bold on page 5, "I acknowledge that I have" make page 5 page 24 | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Format beginning on page 18, titles like RPPF; who is the RPPF? Will every school have this person? | Reviewed | N | No |
| General | | basic information pages look easier to read | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Is the booklet going to be only online? | Reviewed | N | Yes |
| General | | What about a student's right to make-up work after being suspended? | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Pg. 3-change kid to child | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Pg. 7-change kids to students | Reviewed | Revised | |
| General | | the draft was explicit; new to members; the draft was informational; 90% was well written; looks good; no concerns or major adjustments | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Pgs. 6 and 7-good addition, well thought out | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Pg. 11-User friendly, big change | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Some items should be a higher action level | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Repeated reckless behavior should be a higher level | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | PBIS needs to be revised. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | To close all school access and leave only two entrance and with metal detectors | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | More attention from teachers in the bullying problems | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Would like to see police at middle and elementary schools | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | In Spanish please | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | some pages need larger print-pages 15-23 | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | the font need to be bigger to attract attention | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | at the end of the booklet the font should be bigger. The important points should be bigger font | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | use of same font | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Table of contents should be bigger | Reviewed | Revised | |
| General | | Is any part of this about parents and consequences for them? | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | at the family center we don't deal with students, we deal with parents and we need to have a booklet with responsibility/discipline | Reviewed | N | |

| Section | Page(s) | Comment | Result | Revision | Responses to Questions |
|---------|---------|--|----------|----------|---------------------------|
| General | | Last page: who they should contact for more info; list of TUSD board; Link to handbook-where to find online; student artwork | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | We found the charts on p. 7 to be helpful | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | The document does provide uniform guidelines and expectations. The "Welcome" was understandable and friendly. | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | The document has educational jargon. Parents may be unfamiliar with terminology. How can we make it more accessible to families? | Reviewed | N | |
| General | | Suggestions: break-up information with subtitles, pictures or both. Video clips to share with families to help explain the document. | Reviewed | N | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |